## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 23 February 2009

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Seattle District – Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, NWS-2009-175
c.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: WA County/parish/borough: Skagit City: Sedro-Woolley Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 48.52548° K, Long122.20112° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 10 N E
	Name of nearest waterbody: Hansen Creek  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Skagit River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 17110007  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D,	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 23 February 2009  Field Determination. Date(s):
A. The	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.  re are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.  re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	<ul> <li>b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:</li> <li>Non-wetland waters: Stream – 3,459 linear feet: 4 feet wide (avg.) – 0.32 acre</li> <li>Wetlands: 71.3 acres.</li> </ul>
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain:  .
SEC	CTION III: CWA ANALYSIS TNWG AND WETLANDS AD LACENT TO TNWG

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round

<sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally"

<sup>(</sup>e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

(perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

## C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

D.		DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):			
	ī.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.			
	2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Per USGS data, Hansen Creek is a perennial stream.  Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 3,459 linear feet: 4 feet wide (avg.) – 0.32 acre  Other non-wetland waters:  Identify type(s) of waters:			
	3.	Non-RPWs <sup>4</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .			
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland delineation report submitted with the application identifies the wetland boundaries extending to the edge of the unnamed tributary with no intervening uplands, berms, etc. Corps site visits in 2002 (Ref#NWS-2002-1319) verifies.			
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:			
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 71.3 acres.			
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.  Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.			
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.  Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.			
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. <sup>5</sup> As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or			

See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

		Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	DEG	ATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, RADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY H WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): <sup>6</sup>
₽.	NON	-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	and real properties of the control o	NIV: DATA SOURCES.  ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked equested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: project drawings, wetland delineation, and attion plan.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Othice does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Other sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5min, Sedro-Woolley North Quad.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): WA Dept. of Ecology, 2001.  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): WA Dept of Ecology, 2005.  or ☐ Other (Name & Date): WA Dept of Ecology, 2005.  or ☐ Other (Name & Date): WA Dept of Ecology, 2005.  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:  Other information (please specify):
R	ABBE	FIGNAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT ID.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.